



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,467	05/17/2005	Salvatore Massimino	05041	4887
23338 DENNISON S	7590 09/28/2007 CHULTZ & MACDONAL	Salvatore Massimino	EXAMINER	
1727 KING ST		PARSLEY, DAVID J		
	SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA			3643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/528,467	MASSIMINO, SALVATORE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. Parsley	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI tote, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  sply be timely filed  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	March 2005.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,— · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	• .					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2005 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the €	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	,	eceived				
See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies flot?	eccived.				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-21-05</u> .		formal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/528,467

Art Unit: 3643

### **Detailed Action**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it ends in an implied statement.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 18-19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 18-19 have not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/528,467

Art Unit: 3643

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Claims 2-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 does not indicate which claim it depends from as seen in line 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 17 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

U.S. Patent No. 5,794,375 to Wright.

Referring to claims 1 and 21, Wright discloses a securing device for securing a line and/or fishing tackle to a fishing rod, the securing device comprising, a body – see figures 3-4 and 6-7, a first aperture in the body – see at 36,40,56,54,66,68, adapted to engage a fishing rod – see figures 1-7, and a second aperture in the body – at any other of 36,40,54,56,66,68, adapted to releasably engage a fishing line and/or an item of terminal tackle – see figures 1-7 where the apertures are of sufficient size to be capable of receiving a fishing line, wherein the body is adapted to provide compression of the second aperture as a result of expansion of the first aperture – see the orientation of the apertures in figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,467

Art Unit: 3643

Referring to claim 2, Wright discloses the body is formed with an upper surface and a lower surface – see figures 3-4 and 6-7, and a side wall continuous with the upper and lower surfaces – see figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Referring to claim 3, Wright discloses the body is substantially cylindrical in shape – see figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Referring to claim 4, Wright discloses the first aperture and the second aperture are formed as invaginations of the side wall – see figures 3-4 and 6-7, the apertures providing through holes from the upper to the lower surface – see figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Referring to claim 5, Wright discloses the first aperture is adapted to releasably engage the fishing rod and is formed as a slotted bore – see at 36,40,54,56,66,68 in figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Referring to claim 6, Wright discloses the bore is substantially cylindrical and is located adjacent an outer perimeter of the body – see at 36,40,54,56,66,68 in figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Referring to claim 7, Wright discloses the edges of the slot are resiliently deformable to allow passage of a rod shaft into the aperture – see figures 3-4 and 6-7 and column 2 lines 64-67 and column 3 lines 1-63.

Referring to claims 8/1, 8/2, 8/3, 8/4, 8/5, 8/6, 8/7, Wright discloses the second aperture is spaced from and diametrically opposite the first aperture – see at 36,40,54,56,66,68 in figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Referring to claim 9, Wright discloses the second aperture is formed as a second bore interconnecting the upper and lower surfaces – see at 36,40,54,56,66,68 in figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Referring to claim 10, Wright discloses the second aperture is slotted with the slot dimensioned to permit passage of a fishing leader or line – see at 36,40,54,56,66,68 in figures 3-

Art Unit: 3643

leader or line.

4 and 6-7, where the slotted apertures are of sufficient size to be capable of receiving a fishing

Referring to claim 11, Wright discloses the second aperture is configured substantially cylindrically – see at 36,40,54,56,66,68 in figures 3-4 and 6-7.

Referring to claim 17, Wright discloses three or more apertures – at 36,40,54,56,66,68 in figures 3-4 and 6-7, wherein at least two of the apertures are adapted to receive different sized rods or sections of a rod – see figures 3-4 and 6-7, and one aperture is adapted to releasably engage a fishing line and/or terminal tackle – see figures 3-4 and 6-7, where the apertures are of sufficient size to be capable of receiving a fishing line or terminal tackle.

Referring to claim 20, Wright discloses a kit comprising two or more securing devices formed according to claim 1 above – see claim 1 above, each of the two or more securing devices formed for use on a rod of a different size to that of the each of the other securing devices – see figures 1-6.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,425,150 to Braese.

Referring to claim 21, Braese discloses a method of restraining a fishing leader or item of terminal tackle, the method comprising the steps of placing a first aperture – at 11 or 18, in engagement with a fishing rod – see figures 1-3, placing a terminal fishing leader and/or item of terminal tackle in a second aperture – at 17 or 33 – see figure 1, of the line securing device and sliding the securing device in a direction of increasing rod shaft diameter to thereby compress the second aperture into restraining contact with the fishing leader and/or item of terminal tackle – see at 17 and 33 in figures 1-2.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,467 Page 6

Art Unit: 3643

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,667,433 to Thompson.

Referring to claim 12, Wright does not disclose the wall defining the second aperture has sloping side walls substantially in the form of a transected cone. Thompson does disclose the wall defining the second aperture has sloping side walls substantially in the form of a transected cone – see at the interior of 38 in figures 6 and 9. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Wright and add the sloping sidewalls of the aperture of Thompson, so as to allow for the walls of the aperture to secure item(s) within the aperture.

Referring to claim 13, Wright as modified by Thompson further discloses the second aperture includes a seat – see at the interior of 38 in figures 6 and 9 of Thompson. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Wright and add the aperture of Thompson, so as to allow for the walls of the aperture to secure item(s) within the aperture.

Referring to claim 14, Wright as modified by Thompson further discloses a plurality of ridges – see the ridges on the interior of 38 in figures 6 and 9 of Thompson. Therefore it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Wright and add the aperture of Thompson, so as to allow for the walls of the aperture to secure item(s) within the aperture.

Referring to claim 15, Wright as modified by Thompson further discloses the seat comprises one or more shoulders formed in the wall of the second aperture – see at the interior of 38 in figures 6 and 9 of Thompson. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Wright and add the aperture of Thompson, so as to allow for the walls of the aperture to secure item(s) within the aperture.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,113,363 to Fyvie.

Referring to claim 16, Wright does not disclose the body is formed of polyvinyl chloride, polyethylene or polyurethane. Fyvie does disclose the body – at 15, is formed of polyethylene – see column 2 lines 59-67. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Wright and add the polyethylene body of Fyvie, so as to allow for the device to be both durable and lightweight.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to fishing rod securing devices in general:

Art Unit: 3643

U.S. Pat. No. 309,028 to Byington – shows rod and tackle connector

U.S. Pat. No. 2,197,358 to Benson – shows rod connector

U.S. Pat. No. 2,816,393 to Kmonk – shows rod connector

U.S. Pat. No. 2,880,546 to Pemberton – shows rod connector

U.S. Pat. No. 3,169,290 to Snodgrass – shows rod connector

U.S. Pat. No. 3,421,248 to Kennedy – shows rod connector

U.S. Pat. No. 4,707,892 to Nelson – shows rod connector

U.S. Pat. No. 4,873,780 to Lancette – shows rod and line connector

U.S. Pat. No. 6,021,597 to Lajoie – shows rod connector

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Parsley whose telephone number is (571) 272-6890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,467 Page 9

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVID PARSLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER